

Once again we have a demonstration why bigger is not always better and that consolidation can produce unwanted results. Mr. Sinclair's fear that his candidate will lose the election is leading to a very dangerous precedent.

Since Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and they are obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Fortunately Sinclair does not operate a station in my viewing area but Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.